

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1452 OF 2005

Mr. Dilip Amdekar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors ...Respondents

.....

Mr. Shrikant Gawavd with Bimal Bhabhda i/b Apte &
Co. Advocate for Petitioner

Mr. V.B. Konde Deshmukh, A.P.P. for State.

.....

CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.

DATED: 25TH JULY, 2005

P. C.:-

1. Heard the learned advocate for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for quashing of complaint No.409/S/2003 which is pending in the Court of learned Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, 33rd Court at Ballard Pier, Mumbai. In the said case, process has been issued against the petitioner under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act. The learned advocate for the petitioner submitted that the learned Magistrate committed an error in issuing process and the said process deserves to be set aside. If the process issued against the petitioner

is set aside, the proceedings in respect of the petitioner would come to an end.

3. For the reasons recorded in my order dated 13th June, 2005 passed in Criminal Writ Petition No.213 of 2005 and order dated 21st June, 2005 passed in Criminal Application No.550 of 2005, the petitioner has an efficacious remedy of preferring revision against the order of Magistrate issuing process. Hence, in my opinion, it would be appropriate that the petitioner prefers revision before the concerned Sessions Court against the order of the Magistrate issuing process.

4. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to prefer revision before the concerned Sessions Court. The learned advocate for the petitioner states that the necessary revision would be preferred within a period of five weeks from today. In view of this statement, the trial Court shall not proceed with the trial for a period of five weeks from today.

5. On the necessary revision being preferred by the petitioner before the concerned Sessions Court, the concerned Sessions Court shall dispose of the same on merits after hearing necessary parties.

6. Writ Petition is disposed of.

* * * * *